Hip & Knee

PROM

Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS)	
Measures pain intensity at rest or with activity	
Phases Pre-op Acute Post-acute Active living	ICF Time Body function ~ 1 min to complete & score
Quality	
Validity	Knee OA: Excellent correlation with NPRS & Pain VAS (r=0.94), & NPRS & verbal rating scale scores (r=0.93 ¹
Reliability	<i>Test-retest</i> : Patients with MSK conditions (including hip & knee OA): Good test-retest reliability for 24-hr (r=0.63, 95% CI 0.54, 0.70) & 2-day (r=0.70, 95% CI 0.62, 0.77) recall periods. ² Knee OA: Excellent (ICC=0.95) ¹
Responsiveness	TKA: In patients with delayed recovery 3-mos post-op, a 3-wk intensive rehab program resulted in small (Cohen's d=0.38) & moderate (0.62) effect sizes at rest & with activity, respectively. ³
Floor/ceiling effects	No evidence found
Feasibility	Simple, quick to complete & score
Instructions	

An 11-point interval scale ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worse imaginable pain). Ask patient to rate pain intensity by selecting a single number on the scale. See 'Relevant Links' for detailed instructions. Scoring: Record patient selected number

Interpretation

Direction: Lower number = less pain SEM: Knee OA: 0.48 points¹ MDC: Knee OA: 1.33 points¹ MCID: TKA: <3-wks post-op: 1.5 points (small sample).⁴ Chronic MSK pain (~40% with hip/knee OA): 1.0 point (15%) for "slightly better" & 2.0 points (33%) for "much better" responses. Higher baseline values (>7/10) associated with larger MCID values.⁵ Cut Points/Thresholds: No evidence found PASS: TKA: 1.8 points at both 1 & 3 yrs⁶ Normative/ Reference values: No evidence found

Other

Key messages: Take home messages: Provisionally recommended. Simple, valid & reliable measure of unidimensional pain. Widely used, easy to administer & score but limited information regarding ability to detect change (responsiveness) for these populations. Preferred over the Pain VAS for the elderly & low literacy groups. Translated into multiple languages. In a mixed post-op population, the degree of incremental shift in NPRS pre- & post-treatment was more accurate from patient's perspective when changes converted to percentages.⁷

Relevant Links

Summary & instructions (Shirley Ryan AbilitiesLab)

References

~

- 1. Alghadir AH, Anwer S, et al. Test-retest reliability, validity, and minimum detectable change of visual analog, numerical rating, and verbal rating scales for measurement of osteoarthritic knee pain. J Pain Res. 2018;26;11:851-6. <u>https://www.dovepress.com/test-retest-reliability-validity-and-minimum-detectable-change-of-visu-peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-JPR</u>
- 2. Spadoni GF, Stratford PW, et al. The evaluation of change in pain intensity: A comparison of the P4 and single-item numeric pain rating scales. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2004;34:187-93. PMID: <u>15128188</u>
- 3. Larsen JB, Mogensen L, et al. Intensive, personalized multimodal rehabilitation in patients with primary or revision total knee arthroplasty: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil. 2020;12:5. https://bmcsportsscimedrehabil.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13102-020-0157-1
- 4. Bandholm T, Thorborg K, et al. Knee pain during strength training shortly following fast-track total knee arthroplasty: A crosssectional study. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e91107. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3948740/</u>
- 5. Salaffi F, Stancati A, et al. Minimal clinically important changes in chronic musculoskeletal pain intensity measured on a numerical rating scale. Eur J Pain. 2004;8(4):283-91. PMID: <u>15207508</u>
- 6. Connelly JW, Galea VP, et al. Patient acceptable symptom state at 1 and 3 years after total knee arthroplasty: Thresholds for the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS). J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2019;5;101(11):995-1003. PMID: <u>31169576</u>
- 7. Sloman R, Wruble AW, et al. Determination of clinically meaningful levels of pain reduction in patients experiencing acute postoperative pain. Pain Manag Nurs. 2006;7(4):153-8. PMID: <u>17145489</u>



