Hip & Knee PROM

Patient Specific Functional Scale (PSFS)

Measures difficulty of 3 self-selected activities that the patient identifies as important

Phases

Pre-op Acute Post-acute Active living









Quality



Validity

Convergent validity: TKA: Adequate convergent validity between mean PSFS & total WOMAC (r=0.41) & with Global Perceived Effect (GPE) (r=0.37) at 3-mos post-op & total WOMAC (r=0.48) & GPE (r=0.55) at 1-yr.¹

Hip /knee OA: Poor convergent validity with the SF-36 Physical function scale (r=0.22) & SF-36 Physical Component Summary (r=0.11); adequate convergent validity with the WOMAC OA Index (r=0.37) in patients awaiting THA/TKA.²

Discriminant validity: Hip/knee OA: Poor discriminant validity with SF-36 Mental health scale (r=0.11) for TKA.²



Reliability

TKA: Adequate test-retest reliability for problems 1 to 3 (ICC=0.73 - 0.86)¹; Knee dysfunction: Reliable & responsive³



Responsiveness

TKA: Less responsive than WOMAC at 3-mos but more responsive at 1-yr. 1 SRM: 1 to 3-mos post-TKA = 4.6 (95% CI 4.0, 5.4) 4



Floor/ceiling effects

Not applicable as patient specific.



Feasibility

Quick and easy to complete & score.



Instructions

Using a standard script ask patients to rate their ability to do important activities today on a scale from 0-10 (0=unable to perform activity, 10=able to perform the activity at the same level as before hip/knee problem). See 'Relevant Links' for detailed instructions. Scoring: Sum the ratings for the listed activities & calculate the average. Individual item scores or average score can be used.



Interpretation

Direction: Higher score = less difficulty **SEM:** TKA: 3-mos post-op 1.38-1.85¹ **MDC:** Knee dysfunction: 1.5 points³

MCID: TKA: 3.83-5.13 & varies based on number of problems Normative data and reference values: No evidence found

Cut points/threshold: No evidence found

PASS: No evidence found



Other

Key messages: Provisionally recommended. Particularly helpful for detecting change in a given individual – especially at 1-yr post-op. Functioning is a patient-specific concept that may differ greatly between patients. The PSFS addresses this with self-generated items, however, this means some psychometric properties are not relevant & it is difficult to compare scores across patients.



Relevant Links

<u>Summary, instructions & paper version (Shirley Ryan AbilityLab)</u> <u>Online fillable PDF (MDApp)</u>



References

- 1. Berghmans DD, Lenssen AF, et al. The Patient-Specific Functional Scale: Its reliability and responsiveness in patients undergoing a total knee arthroplasty. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2015;45(7):550-6. PMID: <u>25996364</u>
- 2. Gill SD, de Morton NA, et al. An investigation of the validity of six measures of physical function in people awaiting joint replacement surgery of the hip or knee. Clin Rehabil. 2012;26(10):945-51. PMID: 22324057
- 3. Stratford PW, Kennedy DM, et al. Assessing the Patient-Specific Functional Scale's ability to detect early recovery following total knee arthroplasty. Phys Ther. 2014;94:838-44. PMID: 24557654
- 4. Chatman AB, Hyams SP, et al. The Patient-Specific Functional Scale: measurement properties in patients with knee dysfunction. Phys Ther. 1997;77:820-9. PMID: 9256870
- 5. Shirley Ryan AbilityLab. Patient Specific Functional Scale Shirley Ryan AbilityLab. April 4 2013. Accessed December 1 2023. https://www.sralab.org/rehabilitation-measures/patient-specific-functional-scale



